

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,188	06/22/2001	I-Far Lin	LUC-308/LIN 1	8861	
32205 7.	590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
PATTI & BRILL			SAM, PHIRIN		
ONE NORTH	LASALLE STREET				
44TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60602		2661		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	,
V	<b>1</b> ∕
.)	r
•	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/888,188	LIN, I-FAR					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phirin Sam	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ma	a <u>y 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2001</u> is/are: a)	<u></u>	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p	(4) 5. (.).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
PHIRIN SA							
Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAM	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 09/888,188

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 5, 7, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,515,985 (hereinafter referred as "Shmulevich").

Shmulevich discloses the invention (claim 11) as claimed including a method of providing a gateway between a public switched telephone network and a wireless network comprising the steps of:

- (a) converting control messages at a first location, between a packet-based protocol and a non-packet-based protocol (see Fig. 2, element 74, col. 7, lines 32-33, 42-48);
- (b) converting voice messages at a first location, between a packet-based protocol and a non-packet-based protocol (see Fig. 2, element 74, col. 7, lines 32-33, 42-48). Wherein gateway 74 receives both signaling and data (voice) and converts both signaling and data,
- (c) converting control messages at a second location, between a packet-based protocol and a non-packet-based protocol (see Fig. 2, element 78, col. 7, lines 49-65);
- (d) converting voice messages at a second location, between a packet-based protocol and a non-packet-based protocol (see Fig. 2, element 78, col. 7, lines 49-65).

**Regarding claim 14**, the subject matter of this claim is taught in claim 11.

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 5, Shmulevich discloses a switch (see Fig. 2, elements gateways 74 and 78) comprising:

- (a) a first converter arranged and constructed to convert control messages between a packet-based protocol and a first protocol, wherein the first converter is located at a first geographic location (see Fig. 2, element 74, col. 7, lines 32-33, 42-47); Wherein there is a signaling converter in gateway 74 for converting these control messages;
- (b) a second converter arranged and constructed to convert voice messages between a packet-based protocol and a first protocol, wherein the second converter is located at the first geographic location (see Fig. 2, element 74, col. 7, lines 32-33, 42-47); Wherein there is a voice converter in gateway 74 for converting these voice messages;
- (c) a third converter arranged and constructed to convert control message between a packet-based protocol and a second protocol, wherein the third converter is located at a second geographic location (see Fig. 2, element 78, col. 7, lines 49-51); Wherein the signaling converter in location 2 converts this signal (control) message the proper format;
- (d) a fourth converter arrange and constructed to convert voice messages between a packet-based protocol and a second protocol, wherein the forth converter is locate at the second geographic location (see Fig. 2, element 78, col. 7, lines 49-51); Wherein the voice converter in location 2 converts this voice message the proper format;
- (e) such that the switch provides a gateway between the public switched telephone network and a wireless network (see Fig. 2, elements 74, 2, 78, 28, and 54, wherein a gateway 74 or 78 is located between PSTN 26 and the wireless network 28 and 54.

**Regarding claim 7**, the subject matters of this claim is taught in claim 5.

Art Unit: 2661

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,515,985 (hereinafter referred as "Shmulevich") in view of US Patent 6,282,194 (hereinafter referred as "Cheesman").

Regarding amended claims 1 and 10, Shmulevich discloses all the limitations. On the other hand, Shmulevich does not disclose a virtual tandem switch. However, Cheesman discloses the virtual tandem switch (see col. 3, lines 20-26). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the virtual tandem switch teaching by Cheesman with Shmulevich. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to expand the traffic capacity of existing narrowband network read on column 2 lines 25-27. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Cheesman and Shmulevich to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 1 and 10.

Regarding amended claims 3, 9, 12, and 13, Shmulevich discloses all the limitations.

On the other hand, Shmulevich does not disclose the converting a TDM protocol. However,

Cheesman discloses the converting the TDM protocol (see col. 2, lines 47-50). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the converting the TDM protocol teaching by Cheesman with Shmulevich. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for proper transmit over packet-based network. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to combine Cheesman and Shmulevich to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 3, 9, 12, and 13

Regarding claim 6, Shmulevich discloses all the limitations. On the other hand, Shmulevich does not disclose an ISDN User Part signaling protocol. However, Cheesman discloses the ISDN User Part signaling protocol (see Fig. 5, col. 8, lines 52-55). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ISDN User Part signaling protocol teaching by Cheesman with Shmulevich. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to setup, manage, and release trunk that carry voice and data between terminating line exchanges. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Cheesman and Shmulevich to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 6.

Regarding claim 8, Shmulevich discloses all the limitations. On the other hand,

Shmulevich does not disclose a switch management system, arranged and constructed to provide operation, administration, and provisioning of the converters of the switch. However, Cheesman discloses the switch management system (see col. 2, lines 50-59). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the switch management system teaching by Cheesman with Shmulevich. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to control and manage the bandwidth demanding read on column 2, lines 54-55. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Cheesman and Shmulevich to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 8.

5. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,515,985 (hereinafter referred as "Shmulevich") in view of US Patent 6,282,191 (hereinafter referred as "Cumberton").

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding amended claims 1 and 4, Shmulevich discloses all the limitations. On the other hand, Shmulevich does not disclose a virtual tandem switch. However, Cumberton discloses the virtual tandem switch (see Fig. 7, element 100, col. 3, lines 24-36, and col. 7, lines 27-38). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the virtual tandem switch teaching by Cumberton with Shmulevich. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to expand the traffic capacity of existing narrowband network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Cumberton and Shmulevich to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 1 and 4.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) US Patent 6,765,903 (Allen, Jr. et al) discloses atm-based distributed network switching system.
- (2) US Patent 6,757,278 (Bi et al) discloses secure atm-based distributed virtual tandem switching system and method.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00AM 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 -8300.

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 9, 2005

PHIRIN SAM